

Commutative Algebra

Fall 2013 Lecture 7

Karen Yeats
Scribe: Stefan Trandafir

December 9, 2013

1 A Counterexample

Last time we noted that free modules over a noncommutative ring don't necessarily have a rank, but we didn't have an example. Here's one:

Let $M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots$ as a \mathbb{Z} module.

Let $R = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$.

Think of R as a left R -module.

Let $\phi_1(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, a_3, a_5, \dots)$. Let $\phi_2(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_2, a_4, a_6, \dots)$.

Let $\psi_1(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, 0, a_2, 0, \dots)$. Let $\psi_2(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, a_1, 0, a_2, \dots)$.

Then $(\psi_1\phi_1 + \psi_2\phi_2)(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ so $\psi_1\phi_1 + \psi_2\phi_2 = 1$.

Thus ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 generate R .

$\phi_1\psi_1 = 1, \phi_2\psi_2 = 1, \phi_1\psi_2 = 0, \phi_2\psi_1 = 0$.

So if $\alpha_1\phi_1 + \alpha_2\phi_2 = 0$ then $0 = \alpha_1\phi_1\psi_1 + \alpha_2\phi_2\psi_2 = \alpha_1$.

Similarly, $0 = \alpha_1\phi_1\psi_2 + \alpha_2\phi_2\psi_2 = \alpha_2$. So $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ is a base for R .

$R^2 \rightarrow R$

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \mapsto \alpha_1\phi_1 + \alpha_2\phi_2$.

The same calculations show that this is an isomorphism, so rank is NOT well defined for R modules.

2 Finitely Generated Modules Over PIDs

First let's talk about torsion:

Definition: Let R be a commutative integral domain and M an R -module. Then $a \in M$ is a torsion element if $\text{Ann}_R(a) \neq 0$. Let $\text{tor}(M) = \{a \in M : a \text{ is a torsion element}\}$.

Note $\text{tor}(M)$ is a submodule of M :

If $a_1, a_2 \in \text{tor}(M)$ say $r_1a_1 = 0, r_2a_2 = 0, r_1 \neq r_2$, then $r_1r_2(a_1 + a_2) = 0$ so $a_1 + a_2 \in \text{tor}(M)$ and for any $r \in R$ $r_1ra_1 = r(r_1a_1) = 0$ so $ra_1 \in \text{tor}(M)$.

Proposition: (Basic Torsion Facts)

Let R be a commutative integral domain.

1. If $f : M \rightarrow N$, then $f(\text{tor}(M)) \subseteq \text{tor}(N)$.
2. $\text{tor}(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \text{tor}M_1 \oplus \text{tor}M_2$.
3. $R^{(n)}$ is torsion free.

Proof:

1. Take $a \in \text{tor}(M)$ with $ra = 0, r \neq 0$. Then $f(ra) = rf(a)$, so $f(a) \in \text{tor}(N)$.
2. Let ν_1, ν_2 be the canonical maps for $M_1 \oplus M_2$, by 1 $\nu_i(\text{tor}(M_i)) \subseteq \text{tor}(M_1 \oplus M_2)$ so $\text{tor}M_1 \oplus \text{tor}(M_2) \subseteq \text{tor}(M_1 \oplus M_2)$ and if $(a_1, a_2) \in \text{tor}(M_1 \oplus M_2)$ say $r(a_1, a_2) = 0, r \neq 0$ then $ra_1 = 0, ra_2 = 0$.
3. R is torsion free over itself since it has no zero divisors. Then apply 2.

Proposition: Let R be a PID. Any submodule of a free module of rank n is free of rank at most n .

Proof: By induction on n .

Let M be the module. If $n = 1$ then $R = M$ the submodules of R are its left ideals, which are of the form Rr for some $r \in R$ and $\text{Ann}_R(r) = 0$ since R has no zero divisors so $\{r\}$ is a base for Rr if $r \neq 0$, otherwise $Rr = 0$.

Suppose M is a submodule of $R^{(n)}$. Let $\{e_i\}$ be the standard base.

Let $\phi : R^{(n)} \rightarrow R^{(n-1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &\mapsto e_i \quad (i < n) \\ e_n &\mapsto 0. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, $\phi(M)$ is free of rank $\leq n - 1$. $\text{Ker}\phi = Re_n$. So MRe_n is free of rank ≤ 1 . So by the proposition that ranks add, the $\text{rank}(M) \leq n$.

Proposition: a finitely generated torsion free module over a PID is free.

Proof: Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ span M with M torsion free. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_l\}$ be a maximal subset of M with $\sum r_i v_i = 0 \Rightarrow r_i = 0$.

For $x_i \notin \{v_1, \dots, v_l\}$ by maximality $\exists s_i \in R, s_i \neq 0$, such that $s_i x_i + b_{i1} + \dots + b_{il} v_l = 0$.

Let s be the product of the s_i . Then $sM \subseteq N$ where N is the module spanned by $\{v_1, \dots, v_l\}$ then the map $M \rightarrow N$ defined by $x \mapsto sx$ is injective since M is torsion free. So sM is free as it is a submodule of a free module and $M \cong sM$ so M is free.

Proposition: Let R be a PID, and M be a finitely generated R -module.

Then $M \cong \text{tor}(M) \oplus M/\text{tor}(M)$. $M/\text{tor}(M)$ is finitely generated and torsion free, and so $M/\text{tor}(M) \cong R^{(r)}$ for some r .

Proof: First let's check that $M/\text{tor}(M)$ is torsion free. Take $x \in \text{tor}(M)$ and suppose it has a non-zero annihilator, so $\exists r \in R, r(x + \text{tor}(M)) = \text{tor}(M), r \neq 0$.

So $rx \in \text{tor}(M)$ so $\exists s \in R, s \neq 0$ such that $srx = 0$ but $sr \neq 0$ so $x \in \text{tor}(M)$. Next note that $M/\text{tor}(M)$ is finitely generated so we can use the previous result.

Consider $0 \rightarrow \text{tor}(M) \rightarrow M/\text{tor}(M) \rightarrow 0$. This is exact (with π from M to $M/\text{tor}(M)$). We want it to be split. $M/\text{tor}(M)$ is free, let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a base. Pick $a_i \in M$.

$$\pi(a_i) = x_i.$$

Then define

$$g : M/\text{tor}(M) \rightarrow M$$

$$x_i \mapsto a_i.$$

This exists and gives the splitting, so we are done.

Recall in a PID, every irreducible is prime.

Definition: Let p be a prime of R . Then $M_p = \{m \in \text{tor}(M) : \exists i : p^i m = 0\}$ is a p-primary module.

Proposition: M_p is a submodule of M .

Proof: Take $a_1, a_2 \in M_p$. Then $p^i a_1 = 0, p^j a_2 = 0$, so $p^{i+j}(a_1 + a_2) = 0$ and $p^i r a_1 = r p^i a_1 = 0$.

Proposition: $\text{tor}(M) = \bigoplus M_p$ where the sum runs over a finite set of primes of R .

Proof: Choose $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that every prime of R can be uniquely written as up_i for some unit u and some i . Consider $\phi : \bigoplus M_{p_i} \rightarrow \text{tor}(M)$
 $(m_i) \rightarrow \sum z m_i$

Check that ϕ is an isomorphism. Take $x \in \text{tor}(M), x \neq 0$, then $\text{Ann}_R(x)$ is a nonzero ideal of R so it is principal, say $Ra = \text{Ann}_R(x)$ so write $a = up_i^{n_i}, u$ unit.

Consider the elements $a/p_i^{u_i}$, the gcd of these elements is 1 so $\exists r_i$ such that $1 = \sum r_i a/p_i^{n_i}$. So $x = \sum r_i a/p_i^{n_i} x$. Further, $p_i^{n_i} x_i = ax = 0$, so $x_i \in M_{p_i}$, so $x = \phi((r_i x_i))$, thus ϕ is onto.

Next, suppose $(x_i) \in \text{Ker } \phi$. Then $\sum x_i = 0$. Suppose $p_i^{n_i} x_i = 0$. WLOG, say i runs from 1 to n . Then $p_2^{n_2} \dots p_n^{n_n}$ annihilates x_2, \dots, x_n so $p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k} \in \text{Ann}_R(x_1)$, but x_1 is from the p_1 -primary part so $\text{Ann}_R(x_1) = Rp_1^{n_1}$ which is impossible by unique factorization.

Thus ϕ is an isomorphism.

Finally, since M is finitely generated, $M \cong (\bigoplus M_{p_i}) \oplus M/\text{tor}(M)$. So each generator of M uses only finitely many summands, thus all together they use only finitely many summands, so the whole sum only involves finitely many pieces. It remains to check that each M_p is a direct sum of cyclic modules.

Definition: A submodule N of M is pure if whenever $ax \in N, a|inR, x \in M$

then $\exists z \in N$ such that $az = ax$.

Lemma: If $P = Rx_0$ is a pure cyclic submodule of a finitely generated module N , and N/P is a direct sum of cyclic modules, then $N = N/P \oplus P$.

Proof: Let $x_i + P$ be a set of generators for the summands of N/P (finitely many since N is finitely generated, say $i = 1, \dots, k$).

Let a_i generate $\text{Ann}_R(x_i + P)$, so $a_i x_i \in P \forall i$. Since P is pure, $\exists z_i \in P$ such that $a_i z_i = a_i x_i$. Let $y_i = x_i - z_i$. Then $a_i y_i = 0$ so $a_i \in \text{Ann}_R(y_i)$ and if $a \in \text{Ann}(y_i)$ then $ax_i = az_i$ so $a \in \text{Ann}_R(x_i + P)$ so $\text{Ann}_R(y_i) = \text{Ann}_R(x_i + P) = Ra_i$. So by the first isomorphism theorem $Ry_i = R/\text{Ann}_R(y_i) = R/Ra_i = R(x_i + P)$.

So it suffices to prove that $M = Rx_0 \oplus (\oplus Ry_i)$.

So take $m \in M$, $m + P = \sigma r_i(x_i + P) = \sigma r_i(y_i + P)$. Then $m - \sigma r_i y_i \in P_k$.

Thus $m \in P \oplus (\oplus Py_i)$.

For directness, note, say $r_0 x_0 + r_1 y_1 + \dots + r_k y_k = 0$, then $r_1(y_1 + P) + \dots + r_k(y_k + P) \in P$, so by directness of N/P , $r_1 = r_2 = \dots = r_k = 0$.

So $r_0 x_0 = 0$, so $r_0 = 0$. Thus sum is direct.